



COMPTROLLER GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES  
WASHINGTON 25

*L.G.*  
*et* HJM

#-61922

MAR 24 1949

*7L*  
*81*  
*11*  
*11*  
*63*

The Honorable,

The Secretary of the Army.

My dear Mr. Secretary:

Reference is made to letter of November 30, 1948, with enclosures, from the Assistant Secretary of the Army, presenting for consideration the proposed settlement by the Department of the Army of a claim in favor of Harry A. Knox for the infringement of certain patents owned by him relating to the construction of military tanks and assemblies therefor.

It appears that, prior to January 1, 1942, the United Kingdom entered into certain contracts with United States contractors for the construction of a number of military tanks and assemblies. In these contracts, the United Kingdom agreed to indemnify the manufacturers against any and all claims for patent infringement incident to such manufacture. The tanks and assemblies covered by the contracts were constructed for and delivered to the United Kingdom until the time when the contracts were taken over by the United States pursuant to "take-over" agreements entered into as a result of the determination, under lend-lease arrangements, that the United States would administer all war construction in this country and that the United Kingdom would administer all war construction within its borders, the material produced to be used and utilized to the best advantage in the common war effort.

X

D-11122

- 2 -

The claimant is a retired civilian employee of the United States Government. At the time of such employment he invented certain improvements, etc., to military tank construction. These inventions he patented, especially in the United States inasmuch as manufacture or use thereof for its own purposes

It has been determined and stipulated that the manufacture of the tanks and assemblies for the United Kingdom, to which the said patents issued by the claimant, was made steps to secure his claim against the manufacturers. Thus, by reason of the agreement to indemnify the manufacturers against such claims, the United Kingdom became liable to the claimant for all such manufacture which took place under the contracts prior to the time when the United States took over the contracts, after which time such manufacture was "by and for the United States" and within the license given the United States by the claimant. The United States and the United Kingdom entered into a Patent Indemnity Agreement effective January 1, 1942 (Executive and Other International Act, Section 151), as amended March 27, 1946, 60 Stat. 1526). Article VIII of the Patent Indemnity Agreement reads in pertinent part as follows:

"§ 1. For the purpose of this paragraph (a) claims asserted by nationals of the United States of America under any United States patent against United Kingdom Government contractors or subcontractors shall be construed to be claims subject to indemnification by the Government of the United States of America in cases where the Government of the United Kingdom has agreed and undertaken to indemnify and save harmless such contractors or subcontractors against any liability resulting from the use of any patented invention."

Article IX (e) of the same agreement reads:

P-51922

- 3 -

That, upon being so notified of any such claim, the Government of the United States of America will, so far as practicable, dispose of such claim through negotiations with the claimant.\*

Apparently, pursuant to these provisions, the United Kingdom called upon the United States to negotiate with the claimant with respect to the portion of his claim covering infringements by manufacture which took place after January 1, 1912, the effective date of the Patent Interchange Agreement. Funds appropriated to the President in the Second Deficiency Appropriation Act, 1914, under the heading "Innocent Aid; Liquidation and Leave Pay" (62 Stat. 2000) for payment of claims approved prior to June 30, 1917, under a patent interchange agreement executed pursuant to the London-Loss Act (5 Stat. 31), have been made available to the Department of the Army. Negotiations between the claimant, the United Kingdom and the Department of the Army have resulted in (1) an agreement whereby the claimant accepted \$24,000 from the United Kingdom for the infringements which took place prior to January 1, 1912, and (2) a proposed patent release contract, submitted with your letter, whereby the United States would pay \$35,000 to the claimant for infringements by manufacture between January 1, 1912, and the date of the respective "take-over" agreements referred to above.

Article VII of the Patent Interchange Agreement, supra, provides as follows:

"No patent rights, inventions, designs, or processes shall be transferred by either Government in the said Agreement



E-01922

- 5 -

to the Secretary of State by the several Chairman of the Joint-British-American Patent Interchange Committee under Article 11(1) on what is meant by the phrase "other contractual obligations" in Article XVI, but it indicates that the primary purpose of that article was to avoid situations in which licenses regulated under the Patent Interchange Agreement would be given exclusively to persons other than those prior to January 1, 1912.

In a letter dated March 14, 1915, from the Under Secretary of State, with reference to the matter, it is stated:

"As a representative of this Department has also consulted with other persons more familiar familiar with the intention and operation of the Patent Interchange Agreement, including representatives of the British Government who have been consulted with these operations. All such persons have confirmed the understanding of this Department that the Agreement was intended to cover patents of the type involved in the Knox case, and that to interpret the words 'other contractual obligations' in Article XVI as referring to an obligation of the type represented by the statements given by the British Government to American representatives would not be in conformity with their understanding of the intention of the Agreement. It is of the utmost importance in our foreign relations that agreements of this nature be carried out in accordance with the intentions of the signatory governments. To accomplish this end, interpreters placed upon the terms of the agreements by each government should be such as to further those intentions to the maximum possible extent."

In the circumstances, and since the interpretation placed on their own language by the drafters of an agreement must be given great weight, especially where the contracting parties are in agreement as to the true meaning, I perceive no basis for interposing any objection to the mention of the Patent Release Contract and

4-21-72

- 6 -

the payment of the consideration covered thereby.

The contract and voucher are returned herewith.

Respectfully,

(Signed) Lindsey G. Warren  
Inspector General  
of the United States.

Enclosures.

RECEIVED  
APR 25 4 53 PM '72  
FBI